Disadvantaged Communities Involvement Program:

Tribal Advisory Committee Formation
Disadvantaged Communities Involvement (DCI) Program

DCI Program Elements Overview

- Program Element 1: Strengths and Needs Assessment
- Program Element 2: Engagement / Education
- Program Element 3: Project Development
- Program Element 4: Grant Administration

Program Team:

- SAWPA, CivicSpark Water Fellows, California State University, Local Government Commission, California Rural Water Association, University of California Irvine, Water Education Foundation
## DCI Program Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Element Components</th>
<th>PE1</th>
<th>PE2</th>
<th>PE3</th>
<th>PE4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DCI Technical Advisory Committee</td>
<td><strong>DCI Program Activities</strong></td>
<td>Tribal Consultation</td>
<td><strong>DCI Project Development</strong></td>
<td>CivicSpark Water Fellows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disadvantaged Communities &amp; Tribal Communities Pillar</td>
<td><strong>Program Element Components</strong></td>
<td>Value of Water / Trust the Tap campaign</td>
<td><strong>Strengths/Needs Assessment</strong></td>
<td><strong>Tribal Consultation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engage Local Elected Leaders</td>
<td>Engagement Best Practices Publications</td>
<td>State of the Santa Ana Watershed Conferences</td>
<td><strong>Community Water Internship Project</strong></td>
<td><strong>DCI Project Development</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engage Mutual Water Companies</td>
<td>Community Water Education</td>
<td>Community Water Education</td>
<td><strong>CivicSpark Water Fellows</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engage Water Agencies</td>
<td>Water Agency Community Engagement Training</td>
<td>Local Elected Leader Training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Listening Workshops</td>
<td>Community Engagement Intern Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Water Ethnography of the Santa Ana River Watershed (PE1 Final Report)</td>
<td>Technical Assistance for Community Needs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homelessness &amp; Water Convening</td>
<td>OOWOW Plan Update 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CivicSpark Water Fellows</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agreement Administration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Invoicing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Progress Report and Final Report</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## PE1 Activity Update

### Program Element Components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PE1</th>
<th>DCI Technical Advisory Committee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disadvantaged Communities &amp; Tribal Communities Pillar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Engage Local Elected Leaders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Engage Mutual Water Companies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Engage Water Agencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Community Listening Workshops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Community Water Ethnography of the Santa Ana River Watershed (PE1 Final Report)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Homelessness &amp; Water Convening</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- DCI TAC Monthly Meeting with Partners
- Strengths/Needs Data Collection Phase
- Watershed Strengths/Needs Assessment
- Solving Homelessness in the Watershed
## PE2 Activity Update

### Program Element Components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PE2</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tribal Consultation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of Water / Trust the Tap campaign</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation Services</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement Best Practices Publications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State of the Santa Ana Watershed Conferences</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Water Education</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Agency Community Engagement Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Elected Leader Training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Engagement Intern Program</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Trust the Tap campaign material** is available to watershed stakeholders.
- Translation services are available at no cost to water agencies and water-related local organizations.
- Currently have a total of 44 interns working with water agencies and water-related local organizations.
PE3 Activity Update

Program Element Components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PE3</th>
<th>Technical Assistance for Community Needs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OWOW Plan Update 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CivicSpark Water Fellows</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Technical Assistance Project Criteria Approved by DWR
- DWR has approved the OWOW Plan Update 2018
- Near completion of third year CivicSpark Fellows
DCI Program Schedule

DCI Program Timeline
June 1, 2017 - April 30, 2020

PE1: Strengths and Needs Assessment
June 1, 2017 - September 30, 2019

PE2 Education & Engagement
November 1, 2017 - September 30, 2020

PE3 Technical Assistance
June 1, 2019 - December 1, 2020

DCI Program DWR Extension
April 30, 2020 - April 30, 2021

Grant Closeout
January 31, 2021 - April 30, 2021

Today
# DCI Program Budget vs Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Element</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Remaining as of 5/30/19</th>
<th>Percent Expended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - Strengths &amp; Needs Assessment</td>
<td>$898,644</td>
<td>$402,112</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – Engagement/Education</td>
<td>$1,853,068</td>
<td>$1,523,282</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – Project Development</td>
<td>$3,233,288</td>
<td>$3,111,067</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – Administration</td>
<td>$315,000</td>
<td>$276,664</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>$6,300,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,313,125</strong></td>
<td><strong>15.7%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Early Technical Assistance Project

- The formation of a Tribal Advisory Committee was identified as one of these projects and was approved by the OWOW Steering Committee January 24, 2019.

- The OWOW Plan Update 2018 contains recommended management and policy strategies related to Tribal communities in the watershed, crafted through the work of two Tribal Workshops held in 2017 and 2018. The Tribal Advisory is in-part described this way in the OWOW Plan Update 2018:

  It is recommended that SAWPA facilitate and fund a Tribal Working Group to ensure that Tribal consultation is occurring on all plans, strategies, and protocols being adopted within a watershed...Ideally, the Working Group would be a dynamic collaboration between numerous Tribal communities and SAWPA, as well as other stakeholders, to ensure cohesive understanding and shared responsibility.
Tribal Advisory Committee

- DCI Program partner California Rural Water Association (CRWA) has extensive experience working with California Native American Indian Tribes and Sovereign Tribal Communities.

- Engaging the Tribal Advisory Committee will be open, inclusive, and will facilitate regular communication that will recognize the issues and interests of California Native American Indian Tribes within the Santa Ana Watershed.

- The Tribal Advisory Committee will develop recommendations for Tribal programs and projects relevant to the SAWPA. CRWA will support the development of tribal programs and projects identified by the Tribal Advisory Committee and SAWPA.
Tribal Advisory Committee Tasks and Schedule

- **Outlined Tasks and Schedule:**
  - Formation of a Tribal Advisory Committee Charter - Q3 2019
  - Tribal outreach - Ongoing through Q2 2020
  - Two Tribal outreach workshop - Q3 2019
  - Twelve Tribal Advisory Committee meetings - Ongoing through Q2 2020
  - Tribal technical Assistance project development - Q1 2020
  - Tribal technical assistance and project list to SAWPA - Q3 2020
  - Grant administration - Q3 2019 - Q3 2020

- **Funding Source for Tribal Advisory Committee will be Technical Assistance grant funding**
Recommendation

Following the action of the OWOW Steering Committee, it is recommended that the Commission direct the General Manager to execute Amendment No. 1, a time, scope and budget amendment in an amount not to exceed $121,938.74 for a total agreement amount of $361,938.74 with the California Rural Water Association as part of the Disadvantaged Communities Involvement (DCI) Program.
Disadvantaged Communities
Involvement Program:

Prop 1 IRWM Disadvantaged Community Program Grant

Amendment No. 1 with Local Government Commission

SAWPA Commission
July 16, 2019
Local Government Commission

- **Program Element 1**
  - Provide ongoing support to the DCI Program TAC
  - Summary report of interview/survey results from at least 20 elected leaders

- **Program Element 2**
  - Provide at least nine (9) Local Elected Leader Training/Workshops

- **Program Element 3**
  - CivicSpark Water Fellows
Program Element 2

- Policymaker Workshop Series: “Advancing Community Resilience in the Santa Ana Watershed”
- Develop certified curriculum of the “Advancing Community Resilience” curriculum providing certification as “Santa Ana Watershed Ambassadors”
- Interactive workshops will include certified curriculum, watershed knowledge training and materials
  - LGC staff will lead one workshop in each of the three counties, for a total of 9 workshops: three sessions in each county.
New Scope Items

- Program Element 3
  - Provide a 4th year of CivicSpark Water Fellows
  - CivicSpark Water Fellow program is part of the AmeriCorps Program Managed by LGC
  - Fellows support research, planning, and implementation projects for the DCI Program
Introduction

Emily Finnegan
Water Project Associate
Local Government Commission

www.LGC.org
Local Government Commission
Leaders for Livable Communities

Emily Finnegan
Water Project Associate www.LGC.org
What We Learned

*Strengths*
- Resilient communities
- Open public space
- Diversity

*Needs*
- Coordination & Communication
- Housing & Affordability

“There are so many messengers when it comes to water [...] People don’t know who to turn to.”

“We not only have our pollution to deal with [...] but we deal with everybody else's air pollution. When it rains, we then get the acid rain that eats through concrete, kills our trees, and gets into our vegetation, and gets into mothers’ milk.”

“If [the water] is underground, we ignore it.”
Santa Ana Watershed Ambassador Program

A workshop series for local policymakers

Round One: Understanding Your Watershed

Round Two: Collaborative Planning in the Face of Uncertainty

Round Three: Communicating the Value of Water to Your Constituents
Funding for additional LGC activity comes from unexpended funding budgeted from other outreach tasks in Program Element 2.
# DCI Budget vs. Expenditures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Element</th>
<th>Budget</th>
<th>Remaining as of 5/30/19</th>
<th>Percent Expended</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - Strengths &amp; Needs Assessment</td>
<td>$ 898,644</td>
<td>$ 402,112</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 – Engagement/Education</td>
<td>$ 1,853,068</td>
<td>$ 1,523,282</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 – Project Development</td>
<td>$ 3,233,288</td>
<td>$ 3,111,067</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – Administration</td>
<td>$ 315,000</td>
<td>$ 276,664</td>
<td>12.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 6,300,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$ 5,313,125</strong></td>
<td><strong>15.7%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendation

- It is recommended that the SAWPA Commission authorize the General Manager to execute Amendment No. 1, a time, scope and budget amendment in an amount not to exceed $114,416 for a total agreement amount of $556,416 with the Local Government Commission as part of the Disadvantaged Communities Involvement (DCI) Program.
OWOW Plan Update 2018 & Prop 1 IRWM Implementation Grants

One Water One Watershed

Program Update

Presented by Mark Norton PE
SAWPA Water Resources & Planning Mgr.
One Water
One Watershed Plan
Update 2018

Moving forward together

Success!

SAWPA Commission
Adopted, 2-19-19

Thereafter Plan was submitted to DWR for review as deliverable to DWR IRWM Planning Grant

7-1-19 DWR announces that the Plan is 100% compliant with the 2016 IRWM Plan Standards
OWOW Plan Update 2018

- **28** month effort to update the OWOW 2.0 Plan
- Involving
  - Over **100** authors
  - Over **100** collaborative meetings
- Resulting
  - **Six** goals for achieving a sustainable watershed

- Over **100** recommended management and policy strategies
- ~**400** pages (including appendices)
- Including partnerships with
  - Many agencies, non-profits, students, community members
  - Department of Water Resources
  - U.S. Bureau of Reclamation
- Posted on SAWPA website and will be posted on DWR website
Integrated Regional Water Management (IRWM) Implementation Grants
### Funding Allocation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Area</th>
<th>Round 1 General</th>
<th>Round 1 DAC</th>
<th>Round 2 General</th>
<th>Round 2 DAC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Santa Ana</td>
<td>$21,925,000</td>
<td>$2,205,000</td>
<td>$21,925,000</td>
<td>$4,095,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- SAWPA supported DWR’s funding allocation across two rounds of funding.
- Delay on bulk of disadvantaged community resources to help synchronize with the ongoing Disadvantaged Community Involvement Program.
- Proposal Solicitation Package reflects change requested to extend CEQA and permits requirement from 6 months to 12 months after project award.
SAWPA Project Portfolio – Prop 1 IRWM Round 1

• SAWPA Commission approved Cooperative Agreement to allocate Prop 1 IRWM funding to North OC on May 21, 2019

• Agreement has been approved by OCSD and OCWD Boards and pending OC Board of Supervisors approval in August 2019.

• North OC will withdraw DWR application as separate IRWM region upon agreement execution

• SAWPA approves suite of eight projects that includes upper watershed, watershed wide and DAC projects on June 4, 2019

• North OC Stakeholders commences a Call for Projects in May 2019 and holds rating and ranking project workshops in June 2019
North Orange County Project Portfolio for OWOW Prop 1 IRWM Round 1

- On June 26, North OC Stakeholders agreed to recommend the following projects be submitted to OWOW for Prop 1 IRWM Round 1 grant funding
- Recommendation will go to OWOW Steering Committee July 25
- If supported, SAWPA Commission will consider OWOW Steering Committee recommendation to accept the three additional projects on Aug. 6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Proponent</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Grant Funding Allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OCWD</td>
<td>Groundwater Replenishment System Final</td>
<td>$3,589,553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Expansion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Santa Ana</td>
<td>Raitt &amp; Myrtle Park</td>
<td>$2,359,072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC Coastkeeper</td>
<td>SmartScape</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$6,248,625</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Proposed Funding Allocation for All Prop 1 IRWM Round 1 Projects

**Total Projects = 8 Previous; 3 North OC**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replenish Big Bear (BBARWA)</td>
<td>$2,765,588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evans Lake (SBVMWD)</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well 30 Treatment (MVWD)</td>
<td>$3,431,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Ana Watershed Fire Mgt (Cleveland Forest)</td>
<td>$497,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turf Removal/Retrofit (MWDOC)</td>
<td>$1,184,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JCSD/IEUA Water Recycling Program (IEUA)</td>
<td>$2,617,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,497,250</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Upper Watershed (60%)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replenish Big Bear (BBARWA)</td>
<td>$2,765,588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evans Lake (SBVMWD)</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well 30 Treatment (MVWD)</td>
<td>$3,431,225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Ana Watershed Fire Mgt (Cleveland Forest)</td>
<td>$497,998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turf Removal/Retrofit (MWDOC)</td>
<td>$1,184,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JCSD/IEUA Water Recycling Program (IEUA)</td>
<td>$2,617,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,497,250</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Watershed-Wide (10%)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Budget Decision Support Tool (SAWPA)</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turf Removal/Retrofit (MWDOC)</td>
<td>$1,582,875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,082,875</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**North OC Stakeholders (30%)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GWRS Expansion (OCWD)</td>
<td>$3,589,553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raitt &amp; Myrtle Park (Santa Ana City)</td>
<td>$2,359,072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC Smartscape (OC Coastkeeper)</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$6,248,625</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DAC**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Grant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replenish Big Bear (BBARWA)</td>
<td>$1,797,750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Elsinore Algae Removal (Lake Elsinore City)</td>
<td>$297,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2,094,750</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total for Projects = $22,923,500 (5% for SAWPA grant adm also provided)**
Timeline

- Project proponents fill out Project Information Forms (PIFs) and submit to SAWPA
- Dudek, SAWPA Consultant, will compile and submit to DWR 4 weeks prior to Pre-Application Workshop
- DWR Pre-Application Workshop at SAWPA on Aug. 14th
  - DWR Comments provided within 6 weeks thereafter
- Grant Proposal Due to DWR
  - 8 weeks after DWR Comments
Recommendations

Receive and file this presentation about the status of the OWOW Program, inclusive of the OWOW Plan Update 2018 and the Proposition 1 IRWM Implementation Grants.
Inland Empire Brine Line Reach 4D Rehabilitation Work Plan

Phase II Field Investigation Report

July 16, 2019
Inland Empire Brine Line Reach 4D

Reach 4D
- 21 Miles
- Built in mid 1990s
- Pipe size 36” – 48”
- Ave. Flow 5.5 MGD

Project Boundaries
- 7 Miles
- 42” RCP with 270 degree T-Lock
- Within Cities of Chino and Eastvale
Flow conditions were different in 1990s

- When first installed, low flow level exposed unlined concrete to sulfuric acid causing corrosion at the interface of lined and unlined concrete.
Work Plan - Investigation Results

Concrete behind the T-Lock liner
• Concrete is in good condition and well protected from the corrosive environment

Concrete below the liner termination
• Concrete Deterioration 1/2” – 1”
• At least 1” of concrete cover over the steel reinforcement
• Based on a combination of man-entry and CCTV inspection results, the 42-inch pipe has an estimated predicted remaining useful life of 10-20 years.
Work Plan Recommendations

Near-Term:
- Clean and CCTV 1,000 foot segment of pipe
- Man-entry inspections at 2 locations

Mid-Term:
- Clean and CCTV inspection of entire 7 miles of pipe.
- Man-entry physical testing at the same seven (7) locations
- Re-assess remaining useful life

Long-Term:
- Rehabilitate pipeline, if required, (depending on results of Mid-Term Inspections)
- Assumed full 7 miles for purposes of worst case scenario.
Phase II – Near Term Field Investigation
Phase II – Field Investigation Results

• CCTV inspection results show the condition of the pipeline similar to 2018 inspection

• Condition of pipe at 2 manned entry locations slightly worse than 5 other locations investigated in 2018

• Significant cover exists over the structural reinforcement at these locations

• Findings presented in 2018 Work Plan remain valid and recommendations presented remain the same.
QUESTIONS?
Outline

- Recommendation to Commission
  - Receive presentation on RFQ process
  - Interview top 3 firms
  - Authorize the GM to execute an agreement with the most qualified firm
RFQ Process

- Commission authorized RFQ 6/4/19
- RFQ Released 6/7/19
- 14 Responses Received 6/27/19
- 5 firms interviewed 7/9/19
- 3 firms recommended for Commission interview 7/16/19
## RFQ Process

### Results of Interviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Firm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lagerlof Senecal Gosney &amp; Kruse LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Aleshire &amp; Wynder LLP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Lozano Smith, LLP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Scope of Work

- Brown Act
- Public Records Requests
- Public Works Projects – process, contracts, claims
- Right-of-Way
- Agreements, Ordinances, Policies
- Industrial Pretreatment Program
- Human Resources
RFQ Process

Evaluation Criteria

- Qualifications & experience
- References
- Office location
- Hourly rates, payment terms
- Exceptions proposed to standard agreement
- Quality of submittal and presentation
Agreement

General Services Agreement and Initial Task Order

- Consultant to act as General Counsel to SAWPA
- Consultant to report to Commission
- Performance review after 6 months, annually thereafter
- Annual estimate of services
- Task Order No 1: $75,000 for general activities, agreements, Brine Line Pretreatment Program, assess existing documents
Why Consultant?

- Available when needed
- Charges based on work performed
- Expertise of entire firm available
- Wide variety of experience
- Proven policy & contract templates, employee handbook, etc.
- Legal references available at no additional cost
- Insured
- Can be easily augmented or changed
Costs

- Consultant only $100,000 budget for each FYs 20 and 21

- FY19 actual labor/benefits + consultants $445,000

Note costs vary year to year based on litigation and number of legal issues.
Recommendation to Commission

- Receive presentation on RFQ process
- Interview top 3 firms
- Authorize the GM to execute an agreement with the most qualified firm
Questions
Legal Counsel Services for Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority
Who we are

- Founded in 1908
- 8 attorneys
- Located in Pasadena
- General business law firm, with public agency and water law specialization
- One of the most respected public agency, water and natural resources law firms in California
- Experienced with a wide variety of wholesale and retail water suppliers and resource agencies
Our approach to representation

- Forge a long-term relationship with Board and staff
- Understand the Authority’s goals
- Understand the Authority’s business
- Anticipate legal issues
- Accessible to Board members and staff
- Prompt response time – within 24 hours
Why Lagerlof?

- Stability
- Resources
- Integrity
- Practical results
What we can do for you

- We keep you out of trouble
- We keep you in the know
- We help you accomplish your goals
- We help you work with others
- We give you clear, defensible agreements
- We don’t over-lawyer
- You benefit from our work with other clients
Case study: Palmdale Water District and the City of Palmdale

- Long-standing disputes:
  - Encroachment permits
  - Water rates
  - Recycled water
  - Adjudication
  - District Strategic Plan
  - Political/personality issues
What this shows about Lagerlof

- We focused on the client’s goals, not our own
- We changed an adversarial environment to a cooperative one
- We saved the client significant legal fees
- We came up with an innovative solution
- We came up with a practical solution that worked
- Even the press understood our settlement agreement
Conclusions

- We want to work with you!
- We make your job easier
- We offer practical and responsive solutions
- We combine long-standing experience, stature in the water world, cutting-edge expertise, prolific connections and powerful negotiating skills