In Capistrano Taxpayers Association v. City of San Juan Capistrano, the 4th District Court of Appeal struck down the City’s rates in 2015. The case centered on several issues:

- The City did not calculate the actual cost of service for its four price tiers.
- Instead of justifying the price points for its tiers, the City assigned arbitrary percent increases over the first tier.
- The relative cost of four of San Juan Capistrano’s five sources of water was not itemized.

The legality of conservation-based rates has been top of mind since the City of San Juan Capistrano’s rate structure was ruled unconstitutional. The case highlighted the need to tie rates to the cost of service.

What was Learned: Keeping it Legal

The San Juan Capistrano case made one thing clear: Water providers must show their work when setting rates.

The court ruling applied to punitive tiered pricing, but not tiered rates in general. Conservation-based rates (also known as budget-based rates) built around the cost of providing water are legal. Providers must be able to show that pricing is based on costs of meeting the needs of a specific customer.

If a water provider must tap into more expensive supplies, that cost can be reflected in the tiers. Costs may also include conservation, required peaking capacity and the cost of investigating new supplies, but the allocation of such costs must be well documented.
A study with conservation-based rates is no different. Items such as landscape measurements and weather data are factors that help a water agency better forecast demand (i.e. costs).

To avoid challenges under Proposition 218, agencies should have a rate study to:

**IDENTIFY COSTS AND REVENUE REQUIREMENTS**

Determine the income necessary to recover costs for such things as operations and maintenance, capital expenses and debt service obligations.

**ALLOCATE COSTS TO CUSTOMER CLASSES**

Consider varying costs of existing sources of supply, the costs of developing alternative supplies and water conservation programs, and the costs of increasing storage and delivery capacity.

**DESIGN RATES**

The structure should be equitable to customers, achieve revenue stability for the agency and send an effective conservation signal.

To hear a discussion about conservation-based rates, visit: [https://youtu.be/mZdoL_5qdac](https://youtu.be/mZdoL_5qdac)

The Emergency Drought Grant Program is financed by the Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006 (Proposition 84), administered by the State of California, Department of Water Resources.